## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Martin Santos-Villasenor		Case Number: <u>11-02611M-001</u>
and was re	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § presented by counsel. I conclude by a prondant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 8, 2011. Defendant was present eponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
l find by a ¡	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
	The defendant is not a citizen of the	ne United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
X	The defendant, at the time of the o	charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant of	contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior crimina	I history.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade	e law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	·	ım of years imprisonment.
Th	e Court incorporates by reference the m	aterial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court
at the time	of the hearing in this matter, except as	conclusions of LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the def	
2.		nditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
<b>4.</b>		CTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
a correction appeal. The of the United	ne defendant is committed to the custody ns facility separate, to the extent practica ne defendant shall be afforded a reasona ed States or on request of an attorney for	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in able, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending able opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court or the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the pose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEA	ALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
		this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to tion to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
		se to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial fore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and

DATE: <u>March 8, 2011</u>

investigate the potential third party custodian.

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge